UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/525,892	03/15/2000	Alfonso Navarro	660005.98641	9509
26710 QUARLES & F	7590 09/25/200 BRADY LLP	EXAMINER		
	NSIN AVENUE		CHAWLA, JYOTI	
	, WI 53202-4497		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/525,892		NAVARRO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JYOTI CHAWLA	1794	

	JYOTI CHAWLA	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 	16 and 41.33(a)). 11. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 4,17, 19, 21-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	kplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments filed 9/18/2008 have not been entered because:

Amendment to the claims filed 9/18/2008 has not been entered as it raises new issues that would require new search and consideration. The independent claims 4 and 17 have been amended from "(b) aerating the yeast suspension for a period of time with a gas comprising oxygen to allow oxygen uptake by the yeast, wherein the gas is delivered above a maximum oxygen uptake rate of the yeast" to include a time rangeof aeration of yeast as recited " and wherein the period of time is 8 hours up to about 21 hours" in claims 4 and 17. Claim 17 has an added limitation in step (f) " monitoring the wort for an end of fermentation, wherein the end of fermentation is indicated by a predetermined decline in specific gravity". Also claims 25-26 have been added that add a limitation of Ph of adjunct liquid. Amendments to independent claims 4 and 17 and addition of new claims 25 and 26, add limitations that have not been examined before and thus, would require new search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's comments filed 9/18/2008, pages 5-8 have been considered but have not been found persuasive. The arguments are in regards to the newly added amendments to claims 4 and 17, and new claims 25-26, which have not been entered. Thus, the arguments are most and the rejections are maintained for reasons of record.